PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: SON, Min		PCT		
19th Floor, City Air Tower, 159-9 Samsung-dong, Kangnam- gu, Seoul 135-973 Republic of Korea		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
·		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2	8 OCTOBER 2004 (28.10.2004)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCTA9407-11		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/KR2004/001891	International filing date 27 JULY 2004 (27		Priority date(day/month/year) 06 AUGUST 2003 (06.08.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) of IPC7 A61K 38/18	or both national classifica	tion and IPC		
Applicant CJ Corp. et al	,			
1. This opinion contains indications rela	-	ns:		
Box No. I Basis of the opin	nion			
l == '	Priority			
	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
X Box No. V Reasoned stater	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
i []	citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited			
	Certain observations on the international application Certain observations on the international application			
BOX NO. VIII CERTAIN OOSEIVA	atons on the international	application		
other than this one to be the IPEA and opinions of this International Searchir If this opinion is, as provided above, or	Authority ("IPEA") except the chosen IPEA has not ag Authority will not be successidered to be a written appropriate, with amendan expiration of 22 months from the contract of the contract o	of that this does not applified the International Is considered. opinion of the IPEA, the nents, before the expiral	ly where the applicant chooses an Authority Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written the applicant is invited to submit to the tion of 3 months from the date of mailing	
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
			1.	

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701, Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

LIM, Hea Joon

Telephone No. 82-42-481-5600

12



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001891

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in wirtten format
in computer readable form
a time of filling/firm in him.
c. time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:
·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001891

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-6	YES
•	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-6	YES
	Claims	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-6	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-6 of this invention relate to a formulation of albumin-freee EPO comprising hydroxyethyl starch or hydroxyethyl starch and amino acids

The following document have been considered for the purpose of this report:

D1= US 6,586,573 B1, Jul. 1, 2003 D2= Cryobiology, 2002, Vol. 45, No. 2, pp. 153-166.

1. Novelty

Claims 1-6 of this invention relate to a formulation of albumin-freee EPO comprising hydroxyethyl starch or hydroxyethyl starch and amino acids.

Document D1, which has been published prior to the filing date of the present application, discloses a formulation of albumin-freee Factor VIII comprising hydroxyethyl starch or hydroxyethyl starch and amino acids, which is different from those of this invention in terms of protein of interest for formulation. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1-6 is considered to be novel [PCT Article 33(2)]

2. Inventive Step

The object of this invention and D1 have the same object of not containing albumin as a stabilizing agent for protein formulation. Also, the technical composition of this invention is similar to those of D1 such as protein of interest, amino acids and 0.1-10% hydroxyethyl starch compared to 2-6% in D1. But D1 utilized hydroxyethyl starch as a bulking agent, whereas this invention utilized it as a stabilizing agent. It has been known in the prior art that hydroxyethyl starch has stabilizing effect for freezing the protein without loosing the activity, as described in D2. Thus it is not surprising selecting hydroxyethyl starch as stabilizer for EPO. But it have to be noted that the stabilizer and the resulting effect could be varied among the proteins according to physical and biochemical properties of proteins to be formulated. Thus, the effort to select stabilizer and to determine the stabilizing effect of hydroxyethyl starch should be appreciated as a surprising technical effect.

Therefore the subject matter of claims 1-6 is considered to involve an inventive step within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

3. Industrial applicability

The subject matter of claims 1-6 is considered to be industrially applicable. [PCT Article 33(4)]

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)